From: Moore, Gary
To: Steven Shurn

Cc: <u>Terry Andrews; Salinas, Amy</u>

Subject: CES: Stone Machinery Movers, Inc. (Proposed Building Demo)

Date: Friday, May 29, 2015 2:24:16 PM

Steve:

Mr. Stone came by late Friday afternoon, 5/22/15, with two (2) associates. I gave them a tour of the Main Warehouse Building and discussed his approval by the court to remove the Warehouse and the Shed. Based upon his comments, these are my concerns:

- a. Asbestos Inspection: According to my EPA Asbestos contact, this building demo would require and asbestos inspection even if the building is post -1978 vintage. It will also require 10-day Reno/Demo notification to the Texas Department of State Health Services – Asbestos Program;
- b. Activities to control runoff from the slab and the interior tank areas quality of water/control of sediment (this needs to be coordinated with the PRP Group);
- c. Interior Light Units Mercury containing bulbs and the transformer ballasts;
- d. Demo Debris (1) Two (2) story office/lab area stuffed with debris/trash Will this office/lab area be demoed when the structure is removed? Who will disposed of it? Who will pay for the disposal? Who will pay for the disposal of the trash/debris?(2) Cinderblock wall (Floor to Ceiling) between separate areas of the structure Same questions as above; (3) Interior Insulation on the Roof It did not appear that Mr. Stone was interested in the interior of roof insulation and indicated that it would be placed into a roll-off for someone else to dispose. Who is that someone else? (4) Piping connected to the roof This is really just debris that would need to be disposed.
- e. Wastewater Treatment Tanks and Piping on the North Area of the Warehouse It was my understanding that when this was proposed that Stone Machinery would be removing the tanks and piping and placing them on plastic and covering them with plastic. It did not get this impression from Mr. Stone.
- f. Trenches, Sumps, and Secondary Containment structures Although these have been cleaned to some degree, there still may be solids that could be travel towards the storm drains we rainfall falls on the slab of the building. It may be worse if the piping and tanks are left in place.
- g. Piping/Miscellaneous debris along the back wall This is piping that was removed from trenches and the wastewater treatment area This material is currently fine where it is located as long as the building is still standing but when it is taken down this material would have to be placed on plastic and covered with plastic until recycled or disposed.
- h. Shed Building has a floor drain sump and a drum/tote wash area with a sump. EPA cleaned both of these sumps but after the demo these sumps will collect rainwater that will overflow. This should not be a big deal except that one should place oil absorbent boom around these areas until it is confirmed that no oil sheens will be noticed as they fill up and overflow towards the storm drains. There is also debris that will need to be addressed.

It appears that this action did not incorporate a **demolition plan** that considered who would address what in the demo of the building. It would be unacceptable to demo this building without addressing the items listed above. It is not necessary that Mr. Stone address these but either Mr. Stone or the

Trustee should be addressing these since this is an action that the Trustee approved and not the PRP Group. It is my understanding that the Trustee does not have the money to address these issues so who would be addressing them if Mr. Stone does not address these. I believe that the Trustee and the PRP Group along with Mr. Stone should try to coordinate this activity so that all of these concerns plus any additional are properly addressed prior to making a big mess on the site. I am sure these items can be worked out amongst the parties but it needs to be coordinated amongst all parties involved.

Thanks

Gary Moore Federal On-Scene Coordinator U.S. EPA Region 6 214-789-1627 cell 214-665-6609 office

moore.gary@epa.gov